
3.2	DATA SOURCES.....	20
3.3	FIELD VERIFICATION.....	20
3.4	DATA CATEGORIES.....	20
3.4.1	Land Jurisdiction	20
3.4.2	Existing and Planned Land Use	23
3.4.3	Parks, Recreation and Preservation Areas.....	26
3.4.4	Transportation and Access	34
3.4.5	Minerals and Energy.....	34
4.0	INVENTORY RESULTS.....	38
4.1	LAND JURISDICTION	38
4.1.1	Montana	38
4.1.2	Idaho.....	41
4.2	EXISTING AND PLANNED LAND USE	44
4.2.1	Montana	44
4.2.2	Idaho.....	57
4.3	PARKS, RECREATION, AND PRESERVATION AREAS.....	63
4.3.1	Montana	63
4.3.2	Idaho	76c
4.4	TRANSPORTATION AND ACCESS.....	80
4.4.1	Montana	80
4.4.2	Idaho.....	82
4.5	MINERALS AND ENERGY.....	84
4.5.1	Montana	84
4.5.2	Idaho.....	88
4.6	ANCILLARY FACILITIES.....	90
4.6.1	Montana	90
4.6.2	Idaho.....	90
5.0	IMPACT METHODS.....	91
5.1	IMPACT TYPES.....	91
5.2	IMPACT MODEL	91
5.2.1	Resource Sensitivity.....	91
5.2.2	Resource Quantity.....	93
5.2.3	Resource Quality.....	93

5.3	IMPACT LEVELS.....	93
5.4	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MEASURES AND SPECIFICALLY RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES.....	94
6.0	RESULTS	99
6.1	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS.....	99
6.1.1	Construction Would Temporarily Disturb/Disrupt Land Uses at or Near the Alignment.....	99
6.1.2	Construction Activities Would Temporarily Interfere With Active Agricultural Operations.....	99
6.1.3	Construction Activities Would Temporarily Reduce Access and Visitation to Special Management Areas and Trails.....	100
6.1.4	Construction Would Cause Temporary Road and Lane Closures That Would Temporarily Disrupt Traffic Flow.....	101
6.1.5	Construction Would Temporarily Disrupt the Operation of Emergency Service Providers.....	101
6.1.6	Construction Vehicles and Equipment Would Cause Physical Damage to Roads.....	102
6.1.7	Construction Activities Could Cause Temporary Disruption to Rail Traffic or Operations.....	102
6.1.8	Construction Could Conflict With Planned Transportation Projects.....	102
6.1.9	Construction Would Generate Additional Traffic on the Regional and Local Roadways.....	102
6.1.10	<i>Construction Activities Would Potentially Disrupt or Increase Access to Recreation Sites.....</i>	<i>103a</i>
6.2	OPERATIONAL IMPACTS.....	<i>103b</i>
6.2.1	Presence of a Project Component Would Disrupt Land Uses at or Near the Alignment.....	<i>103b</i>
6.2.2	Operation Would Permanently Convert Agricultural Land to Non- Agricultural Use.....	104
6.2.3	Operation Would Permanently Interfere With Active Agricultural Operations.....	104
6.2.4	Presence of a Transmission Line Would Permanently Preclude or Degrade Special Management Areas and Trails.....	106
6.2.5	Operation Could Interfere with Aviation Safety.....	106
6.2.6	<i>Presence of a Transmission Line Would Alter User Experience at Recreation Sites.....</i>	<i>107a</i>
6.2.7	<i>Operation Would Not Impact Regional Supply of Recreation Opportunities.....</i>	<i>107b</i>
6.3	MAINTENANCE IMPACTS.....	<i>107b</i>
6.3.1	<i>Transmission.....</i>	<i>107b</i>
6.3.2	<i>Substation.....</i>	108
7.0	REFERENCES.....	109

Table 4.2-11	Superfund Sites Crossed by the Alternative Route Links – Montana.....	55
Table 4.2-12	Federal Superfund Site Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Status Montana.....	56
Table 4.2-13	Number of Residences within 1,000 Feet of the Alternative Route Links – Idaho.....	58
Table 4.2-14	Platted Subdivisions Crossed by the Alternative Route Links – Idaho....	58
Table 4.2-15	Schools within the Study Area – Idaho.....	58
Table 4.2-16	Top Crop and Livestock Inventory Items – Idaho.....	59
Table 4.2-20	Federal Aviation Administration Registered Airports Located in the Study Area and Vicinity – Idaho.....	62
Table 4.3-1	BLM Wilderness Study Areas – Montana	66
Table 4.3-2	Existing BLM ACECs within the Study Area – Montana.....	68
Table 4.3-3	Potential ACECs (BLM Butte Field Office) – Montana	69
Table 4.3-4	Research Natural Area Description – Montana	70
Table 4.3-5	Recreational Opportunities at Existing Special Recreation Management Areas – Montana	71
Table 4.3-6	Management of Special Recreation Management Areas – Montana.....	71
Table 4.3-7	State Fishing Access Sites within the Study Area – Montana	73
Table 4.3-8	Land and Water Conservation Fund Sites within the Study Area – Montana.....	74
Table 4.3-9	Special Management Areas Crossed by the Alternative Route Links – Montana.....	75
Table 4.3-10	<i>Recreational Sites and Usage Within the 4-mile Land Use Study Corridor for the MSTI Project in Montana.....</i>	<i>76a</i>
Table 4.5-1	Federal Authorized Oil and Gas Leases Crossed by the Alternative Route Links – Montana.....	84
Table 4.5-3	BLM Mineral Material Sites within the Study Area – Montana.....	87
Table 4.5-5	BLM Mineral Material Sites within the Study Area – Idaho	90
Table 5.4-1	Preliminary Environmental Protection Measures – Land Use.....	85
Table 5.4-2	Specifically Recommended Mitigation Measures – Land Use	98
Table 5.4-3	<i>Land Use Areas of Interest and Proposed Mitigation for Areas within the 4-mile Land Use Study Corridor.....</i>	<i>99a</i>

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Tables 2.1-1 thru 2.1-8
APPENDIX B	Table 4.1-4 Land Jurisdiction Crossed by the Alternative Route Links-ID Table 4.2-17 (Idaho Grazing) Table 4.2-18 (Idaho Ag Land) Table 4.2-19 (Idaho Prim Ag Land) Tables 4.2-6, 4.2-7, and 4.2-9
APPENDIX C	Table 4.5-2 Active Mining Claims in the MSTI Study Area Table 4.5-4 Hard Rock-Open Cut Mines
APPENDIX D	IRAs in the Montana Portion of the Study Area